UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/086,023	02/28/2002	Thomas H. Zimmerman	22.1468	2691
35204 SCHLUMBER	7590 05/30/200 GER RESERVOIR CO	EXAMINER		
14910 AIRLIN	E ROAD	DANG, HUNG Q		
ROSHARON, TX 77583			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2612	······································
		·		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/30/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/086,023	ZIMMERMAN, THOMAS H.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Hung Q. Dang	2612		

	70,000,020		
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Hung Q. Dang	2612	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>18 April 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Nota Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
 a)		in the final rejection, wh	ichover is later. In
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI 06.07(f).	g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	stension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi te of the final rejection, of	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as even if timely filed,
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
AMENDMENTS			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beappeal; and/or 	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.	
The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		,	
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).			_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☒ wi vided below or appended.	ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: <u>33,56 and 58-66</u> .			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-11,14,16-24,28,29,40-49,51 and 67-</u> -	60		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	<u> </u>		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affidav	vit or other evidence is	necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet. 		n condition for allowar	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	[].	1 .
		BRIAN ZIM	MERMAN

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicant's arguments are not persuasive. The independent claims mainly concerns with short-range wireless communication between a plurality of wireless network devices in the wellbore. The specification of this application does not specifically point out what exactly a "wellbore" is. The examiner asserts that the wireless network devices disclosed by Bartel are indeed part of the wellbore. Therefore, the wireless network devices disclosed by Bartel indeed communicate using short-range wireless communication in the wellbore.